

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/494,276	POPE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian E Pellegrino	3738	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Brian E Pellegrino.

(3) _____.

 (2) Daniel McCarthy.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 April 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:


- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
USC 102 rejection over KYOCERA
Claims discussed:
all
Prior art documents discussed:
JP 09173437
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A claim to priority included an typo. and Mr. McCarthy agreed to allow the Examiner to do an Examiner's amendment to correct the number. Also discussed was foreign japanese patent and that the reference anticipated the claims not reciting the combination of a femoral head and acetabular cup. Authorization was given to the Examiner to cancel claims.